# METHANE TO MARKETS PARTNERSHIP

#### **CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES**

by Giuseppe IORIO Eni – GHG Manager ITALY



#### **TOMSK - September 13-14, 2005**



# NATURAL GAS

#### **STRENGTHS**

#### **WEAKNESSES**

**Abundant reserves** 

Mild environmental footprint

Lowest carbon intensity among fossil sources

High end use efficiency

Clean and very efficient Power Generation

Preferred source of hydrogen

Complex and capital-intensive infrastructures

Market rigidity: fixed link to the final market

Lengthy negotiation between the parties involved

Substantial volumes of stranded gas

**Under-explored energy source** 

Flaring of remote associated gas

the NG reserve/production ratio is higher than crude oil



#### THE RATE OF NG RESERVES UTILIZATION IS LOWER THAN OIL



#### NG's R/P ratio is almost twice the oil ratio

Reducing this ratio contributes to stabilize hydrocarbon supply



### 2005 WORLD GAS RESERVES (Gm<sup>3</sup>)





#### **CO<sub>2</sub> EMISSION FACTORS**

	Heat	PG		
	tCO <sub>2</sub> /toe	tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh		
Natural gas	2,35	0,36		
Oil	3,15	0,65		
Coal	3,96	1,00		

The internalisation of the environmental cost of CO<sub>2</sub> may substantially increase the total cost of the primary energy and change the competition among fuels, conversion technologies and final uses. The internalisation of the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions depends on the CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors.

Natural gas, being less carbon intensive, is favoured.



#### **ROADMAP TOWARDS A MORE DECARBONISED ENERGY SYSTEM**

New infrastructures for existing and new markets

Mobilize stranded gas

Expand NG use for power generation

"Zero" Gas Flaring

Reduce GHG emissions from NG infrastructures

**CO<sub>2</sub> geological Sequestration** 

Long distance, high pressure pipelines LNG chain

LNG Gas to Liquids

High efficiency combined cycle

Power generation for local uses; GtL

High efficiency turbo-compressors; leak detection

Sequestrate CO<sub>2</sub> available in gas centers, from decarbonisation units



# ROUTE MAP OF THE GAS PIPELINE TURKMENISTAN - EUROPE Feasibility study of HP pipeline





#### COMPARISON BETWEEN HP AND LP FOR 15 - 30 GSM<sup>3</sup>/Y NET TRANSPORT CAPACITY

	I5 Gm³/y		30 Gm <sup>3</sup> /y		
	НР	LP	HP	LP	
Suction Pressure, MPa	10	4.5	10	4.	5
Discharge Pressure, MPa	14	7.5	14	7.	5
Pipe Steel (API 5L Spec.)	X-80	X-70	<b>X- 80</b>	X-70	
Nominal Diameter, inch	42	56	56	56	72
Max. Wall Thickness, mm	24	19	32	19	25
Pipe Weight, kg/m	530	582	941	582	961
Stations Distance, km	406	650	447	166	598
Adsorbed Power per Station,MW	20	34	40	68	68
Fuel, GSm <sup>3</sup> /y/1000 km	0.10	0.11	0.18	0.83	0.23



#### ECONOMIC COMPARISON BETWEEN HP AND LP PIPELINES





# LIBYA - ITALY PIPELINE





# TOWARDS ZERO GAS FLARING

# KWALE (NIGERIA) ENERGY PROJECT





# **NIGERIA – POWER GENERATION**





# **CO<sub>2</sub> GEOLOGICAL SEQUESTRATION**





#### THE OUTLOOK FOR CO<sub>2</sub> GEOLOGICAL SEQUESTRATION (Acceptable CO<sub>2</sub> avoided cost = 40 \$/tCO<sub>2</sub>)

Quite a different meaning for different PG units								
kgCO <sub>2</sub> /kwh								
NG Combined Cycle	0.4	1.6 cent/kWh (40,0 \$/tCO <sub>2</sub> )						
Oil fired PG	0.6	2.4 cent/kWh (26.6 \$/tCO <sub>2</sub> )						
Pulverized Coal	1.0	4.0 cent/kWh (16.0 \$/tCO <sub>2</sub> )						
Similar results for heat or H <sub>2</sub> production								

The cost goal of the tCO<sub>2</sub> avoided is much higher for NG and therefore it is necessary to aim for CCS in NG units.

**CO<sub>2</sub>** from deacidification of NG in gas centers provide early opportunities for CCS.



# Reduction of energy consumption and GHG emissions

- To curb energy consumption and GHG emissions, targeted energy saving programmes are possible using the best available technology, with the installation of high-efficiency turbines including aeroderivative turbines with an energy efficiency of 37%.
- Natural gas consumption at the compressor stations can be controlled and optimised by the Dispatching Centre with specific software programs. These programs make it possible to determine the best efficiency point for each station and the best operating conditions for the transport lines.



# **ROLE OF NATURAL GAS**

Natural Gas is the bridge towards a more decarbonised energy system.

- 1 Switching to low-carbon fuels
- 2 **Power generation with CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration**
- 3 Source of H<sub>2</sub> as an energy carrier



The Italian contribution in fulfilling an expanded role of NG

In Italy NG plays a major role in the energy supply.

The Italian industry has pioneered and continues to develop advanced technologies in all segments of the NG chain

- onshore and offshore pipelines (Transmed, Bluestream, Greenstream,..)
- LNG and regasification
- geological gas storage
- Gas to Liquids (Methanol, DME, Fischer-Tropsch synthesis)
- Advanced combined cycle Power Generation

This gas technology portfolio can contribute to bring new international gas initiatives to fruition.

